arXiv:1207.0590v1 [cond-mat.quant-gas] 3 Jul 2012

A kinetic equation for spin polarized Fermi systems.

LEIF ARKERYD

Chalmers, Göteborg, Sweden,

Abstract. This paper discusses some mathematical questions for spin-dependent Fermi gases at low temperatures modelled by a kinetic equation of Boltzmann type. The distribution functions have values in the space of positive definite hermitean 2×2 complex matrices. Global existence of weak solutions to the initial value problem in $L^1 \cap L^\infty$ for the Boltzmann equation is proved.

1 Introduction.

The experimental study of spin polarized Fermi gases at low temperatures and their kinetic modelling is well established in physics, an early mathematical physics text in the area being [S]. The first experiments concerned very dilute solutions of ${}^3He$ in superfluid ${}^4He$ with - in comparison with classical Boltzmann gases - interesting new properites such as spin waves (see [NTLCL]). The experimentalists later turned to laser-trapped low temperature gases (see [JR]). The mathematical study of these models, however, is less advanced. To discuss this, we first recall some basics of the Pauli spin matrices

$$\sigma_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \sigma_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & i \\ -i & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \sigma_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Denoting by $[\sigma_i, \sigma_j]$ the commutator $\sigma_i\sigma_j - \sigma_j\sigma_i$, the Pauli matrices satisfy

$$[\sigma_1, \sigma_2] = 2i\sigma_3, \quad [\sigma_2, \sigma_3] = 2i\sigma_1, \quad [\sigma_3, \sigma_1] = 2i\sigma_2, \quad \text{and} \quad [\sigma_i, \sigma_i] = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad i = 1, 2, 3. \tag{1.1}$$

With

$$\sigma = (\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3)$$

the Pauli spin vector, (1.1) is equivalent to $\sigma \times \sigma = 2i\sigma$.

Let $\mathcal{M}_2(\mathbb{C})$ denote the space of 2×2 complex matrices with $\mathcal{H}_2(\mathbb{C})$ the subspace of hermitean matrices. $\mathcal{H}_2(\mathbb{C})$ is linearly isomorphic to $\mathbb{R}^4$ using the decomposition $\rho = A_c I + A_s \cdot \sigma$ and identifying $\rho \in \mathcal{H}_2(\mathbb{C})$ with $(A_c, A_s) \in \mathbb{R}^4$. In the subspace of positive definite hermitean matrices, it holds

$$\log(\rho) = \frac{1}{2}\big(\log(A_c^2 - |A_s|^2) + \frac{1}{2}\log\big(\frac{A_c + |A_s|}{A_c - |A_s|}\big)\frac{A_s}{|A_s|} \cdot \sigma\big).$$

The eigenvalues of $\log \rho$ are $\log(A_c - |A_s|)$ and $\log(A_c + |A_s|)$. For $A, B \in \mathcal{M}_2(\mathbb{C})$ the *contracted product* of A and B is $A : B = \sum A_{ij}B_{ij}$. The contracted product of two Pauli matrices σ_i and σ_j gives $\sigma_i : \sigma_j = 2\delta_{ij}$, where δ_{ij} is Kronecker's delta. More generally for two vectors $v = (v_1, v_2, v_3)$ and $w = (w_1, w_2, w_3)$, it holds $(v \cdot \sigma) : (w \cdot \sigma) = 2v \cdot w$. With I the identity matrix, $(v \cdot \sigma)(w \cdot \sigma) = v \cdot wI + i(v \times w) \cdot \sigma$, which implies $[v \cdot \sigma, w \cdot \sigma] = 2i(v \times w) \cdot \sigma$.

A dilute spin polarized gas with spin $\frac{1}{2}$, can be modelled kinetically by a distribution function matrix ρ with values in $\mathcal{H}_2(\mathbb{C})$, which is the Wigner transform of the one-atom density operator for the system. In this paper ρ is assumed to evolve according to the kinetic equation

$$D\rho := \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\rho + p \cdot \bigtriangledown_x \rho = Q(\rho) \tag{1.2}$$

with in the Born approximation, the collision integral

$$\begin{aligned} Q(\rho) = \int dp_2 dp'_1 dp'_2 B \delta(p_1 + p_2 - p'_1 - p'_2)\delta(p_1^2 + p_2^2 - p'^2_1 - p'^2_2)(\{[\tilde\rho_1, \rho_{1'}]_+ \mathrm{Tr}(\tilde\rho_2 \rho_{2'}) \\ -[\rho_1, \tilde\rho_{1'}]_+ \mathrm{Tr}(\rho_2 \tilde\rho_{2'})\} - \frac{1}{8}\{[[\tilde\rho_1, \rho_{1'}]_+, [\tilde\rho_2, \rho_{2'}]_+]_+ - [[\rho_1, \tilde\rho_{1'}], [\rho_2, \tilde\rho_{2'}]_+]_+\}). \end{aligned}$$

Here $\tilde\rho = I - \rho$, and $[.,.]_+$ denotes the anti-commutator. The domain of $\rho(t, x, p)$ is positive time t, $p \in \mathbb{R}^3$, and for simplicity periodic 3d position space x with period one. The kernel B is of hard force type, $0 \leq B(|p_1 - p_2|, \theta) = |p_1 - p_2|^\beta b(\theta)$ with $0 \leq \beta \leq 1$, $\frac{b(\theta)}{\cos^3(\theta)} \in L^\infty$. The number density of particles is given by $f := \mathrm{Tr}(\rho(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{x}, \mathrm{p}))$, and the magnetization of particles by the vector $\bar\sigma(t, x, p) := Tr(\sigma\rho(t, x, p))$, which gives $\rho = \frac{1}{2}(fI + \bar\sigma\sigma)$. The main result of the paper is a global existence theorem for the initial value problem of (1.2),

Theorem 1.1 *Suppose that* $(f_0, \bar\sigma_0) \in L^\infty \cap L^1([0,1]^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3)$, *and for* $p^2 \leq j^2$, $j \in \mathbb{N}$, *that* $0 < \eta_j \leq f_0 \leq 2 - \eta_j$ *and* $\bar\sigma_0^2 + \eta_j^2 \leq \min(f_0^2, (2 - f_0)^2)$ *for some* $\eta_j > 0$. *Then the equation (1.2) with initial value* $(f_0, \bar\sigma_0)$, *has a bounded integrable solution for* $t > 0$ *with* $0 < f < 2$ *and* $\bar\sigma^2 < \min(f^2, (2 - f)^2)$ *.*

Open problems of considerable physical interest are (cf [JM]) the relaxation times for spin-diffusion, the time asymptotic behaviour in general, and the influence of more involved transport terms in (1.2), such as the physicists' version of the problem,

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{\partial f}{\partial t} + \bigtriangledown_p \epsilon_p \cdot \bigtriangledown_r f - \bigtriangledown_r \epsilon_p \bigtriangledown_p \cdot f + \sum_{i=xyz} \Big[\frac{\partial h_p}{\partial p_i} \cdot \frac{\partial \bar\sigma}{\partial r_i} - \frac{\partial h_p}{\partial r_i} \cdot \frac{\partial \bar\sigma}{\partial p_i}\Big] = Q_n, \\ &\frac{\partial \bar\sigma}{\partial t} + \sum_i \Big[\frac{\partial \epsilon_p}{\partial p_i}\frac{\partial \bar\sigma}{\partial r_i} - \frac{\partial \epsilon_p}{\partial r_i}\frac{\partial \bar\sigma}{\partial p_i} + \frac{\partial f}{\partial r_i}\frac{\partial h_p}{\partial p_i} - \frac{\partial f_p}{\partial p_i}\frac{\partial h_p}{\partial r_i}\Big] - 2(h_p \times \bar\sigma) = Q_m. \end{aligned} \tag{1.3}$$

Here Q_n is the number density part and Q_m the spin part of Q, the energy matrix is split into

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon_p &= \frac{p^2}{2m} + \int dp'\{V(0) - \frac{1}{2}V(|p - p'|)\}f'(r, t), \\ h_p &= -\frac{1}{2}(\gamma\tilde B - \int dp' V(p - p')\bar\sigma'(r, t), \end{aligned}$$

V is the inter-particle potential, $\tilde B$ an external magnetic field, and γ is the gyromagnetic ratio.

Also linearized versions of (1.2) are discussed in [JM], but we are not aware of any related mathematical studies. However, related linear spinor Boltzmann equations introduced in spintronics for semiconductor hetero-structures, e.g.

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\rho + v \cdot \bigtriangledown_x \rho + E \cdot \bigtriangledown_v \rho = Q(\rho) + Q_{SO}(\rho) + Q_{SF}(\rho), \tag{1.4}$$

have been analyzed mathematically. Here E is an electric field and Q is the collision operator for collisions without spin-reversal, in the linear BGK approximation

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \alpha(v, v')(M(v)\rho(v') - M(v')\rho(v)dv',$$

with M denoting a normalized Maxwellian. The spin-orbit coupling generates an effective field Ω making the spins precess. The corresponding spin-orbit interaction term $Q_{SO}(\rho)$ is given by $\frac{i}{2}[\Omega \cdot \sigma, \rho]$. Finally $Q_{SF}(\rho)$ is a spin-flip collision operator, in relaxation time approximation given by

$$Q_{SF}(\rho) = \frac{\mathrm{tr}\rho I_2 - 2\rho}{\tau_{sf}},$$

with $\tau_{sf} > 0$ the spin relaxation time. Various mathematical properties - existence, uniqueness, asymptotic behaviour - have been studied (see [BH] and references therein).

2 Preliminaries on the collision operator.

The equations for f and $\bar{\sigma}$ resulting from (1.2), are

$$Df = Q_n(f, \bar{\sigma}), \tag{2.1}$$

$$D\bar{\sigma} = Q_m(f, \bar{\sigma}), \tag{2.2}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
Q_n(f_1, \bar{\sigma}_1) &= \frac{1}{2}\int dp_2 dp'_1 dp'_2 B\delta(p_1 + p_2 - p'_1 - p'_2)\delta(p_1^2 + p_2^2 - p_1'^2 - p_2'^2) \\
&\Big(\frac{3}{2}([f_{1'} - \frac{1}{2}(f_1 f_{1'} + \bar{\sigma}_1 \cdot \bar{\sigma}_{1'})][f_{2'} - \frac{1}{2}(f_2 f_{2'} + \bar{\sigma}_2 \cdot \bar{\sigma}_{2'})] - [f_1 - \frac{1}{2}(f_1 f_{1'} + \bar{\sigma}_1 \cdot \bar{\sigma}_{1'})][f_2 - \frac{1}{2}(f_2 f_{2'} + \bar{\sigma}_2 \cdot \bar{\sigma}_{2'})]) \\
&-\frac{1}{2}([\bar{\sigma}_{1'} - \frac{1}{2}(f_{1'}\bar{\sigma}_1 + f_1\bar{\sigma}_{1'})] \cdot [\bar{\sigma}_{2'} - \frac{1}{2}(f_{2'}\bar{\sigma}_2 + f_2\bar{\sigma}_{2'})] - [\bar{\sigma}_1 - \frac{1}{2}(f_{1'}\bar{\sigma}_1 + f_1\bar{\sigma}_{1'})] \cdot [\bar{\sigma}_2 - \frac{1}{2}(f_{2'}\bar{\sigma}_2 + f_2\bar{\sigma}_{2'})])\Big), \\
Q_m(f_1, \bar{\sigma}_1) &= \frac{1}{2}\int dp_2 dp'_1 dp'_2 B\delta(p_1 + p_2 - p'_1 - p'_2)\delta(p_1^2 + p_2^2 - p_1'^2 - p_2'^2) \\
&\Big(\frac{3}{2}([\bar{\sigma}_{1'} - \frac{1}{2}(f_{1'}\bar{\sigma}_1 + f_1\bar{\sigma}_{1'})] \cdot [f_{2'} - \frac{1}{2}(f_2 f_{2'} + \bar{\sigma}_2\bar{\sigma}_{2'})] - [\bar{\sigma}_1 - \frac{1}{2}(f_{1'}\bar{\sigma}_1 + f_1\bar{\sigma}_{1'})][f_2 - \frac{1}{2}(f_2 f_{2'} + \bar{\sigma}_2 \cdot \bar{\sigma}_{2'})]) \\
&-\frac{1}{2}([f_{1'} - \frac{1}{2}(f_1 f_{1'} + \bar{\sigma}_1 \cdot \bar{\sigma}_{1'})][\bar{\sigma}_{2'} - \frac{1}{2}(f_{2'}\bar{\sigma}_2 + f_2\bar{\sigma}_{2'})] - [f_1 - \frac{1}{2}(f_1 f_{1'} + \bar{\sigma}_1 \cdot \bar{\sigma}_{1'})][\bar{\sigma}_2 - \frac{1}{2}(f_{2'}\bar{\sigma}_2 + f_2\bar{\sigma}_{2'})])\Big).
\end{aligned}$$

The collision term Q_n does no change the number density ($\int Q_n dp = 0$), the linear momentum density ($\int p Q_n dp = 0$), and the energy density ($\int p^2 Q_n dp = 0$), and the collisiion term Q_m does not change the magnetization density ($\int Q_m dp = 0$).
Introduce for the direct sum of $\mathbb{R}$ and $\mathbb{R}^3$, the commutative ;-product given by $(f_1 + \bar{\sigma}_1); (f_2 + \bar{\sigma}_2) = f_1 f_2 + \bar{\sigma}_1 \cdot \bar{\sigma}_2 + f_1\bar{\sigma}_2 + f_2\bar{\sigma}_1$. Obviously the ;-product is not in general associative, due to the inner product of $\bar{\sigma}$. Consider the sums $Q_n \pm Q_m$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
Q_n \pm Q_m = \frac{1}{2}\int & dp_2 dp_1' dp_2' B \delta(p_1 + p_2 - p_1' - p_2')\delta(p_1^2 + p_2^2 - p_1'^2 - p_2'^2) \\
& \Big([f_{2'} - \frac{1}{2}(f_2 f_{2'} + \bar{\sigma}_2 \cdot \bar{\sigma}_{2'})][(f_{1'} \pm \bar{\sigma}_{1'}); (1 - \frac{1}{2}(f_1 \pm \bar{\sigma}_1))] \\
& -[f_2 - \frac{1}{2}(f_2 f_{2'} + \bar{\sigma}_2 \cdot \bar{\sigma}_{2'})][(f_1 \pm \bar{\sigma}_1); (1 - \frac{1}{2}(f_{1'} \pm \bar{\sigma}_{1'}))] \\
& \mp[\bar{\sigma}_{2'} - \frac{1}{2}(f_{2'}\bar{\sigma}_2 + f_2\bar{\sigma}_{2'})]; [(f_{1'} \pm \bar{\sigma}_{1'}); (1 - \frac{1}{2}(f_1 \pm \bar{\sigma}_1))] \\
& \pm[\bar{\sigma}_2 - \frac{1}{2}(f_{2'}\bar{\sigma}_2 + f_2\bar{\sigma}_{2'}))]; [(f_1 \pm \bar{\sigma}_1); (1 - \frac{1}{2}(f_{1'} \pm \bar{\sigma}_{1'}))]\Big) \\
+\frac{1}{4}\int & dp_2 dp_1' dp_2' B \delta(p_1 + p_2 - p_1' - p_2')\delta(p_1^2 + p_2^2 - p_1'^2 - p_2'^2) \\
& \Big([f_{2'} - \frac{1}{2}(f_2 f_{2'} + \bar{\sigma}_2 \cdot \bar{\sigma}_{2'})][(f_{1'} \pm \bar{\sigma}_{1'}); (1 - \frac{1}{2}(f_1 \pm \bar{\sigma}_1))] \\
& -[f_2 - \frac{1}{2}(f_2 f_{2'} + \bar{\sigma}_2 \cdot \bar{\sigma}_{2'})][(f_1 \pm \bar{\sigma}_1); (1 - \frac{1}{2}(f_{1'} \pm \bar{\sigma}_{1'}))] \\
& \pm[\bar{\sigma}_{2'} - \frac{1}{2}(f_{2'}\bar{\sigma}_2 + f_2\bar{\sigma}_{2'})]; [(f_{1'} \pm \bar{\sigma}_{1'}); (1 - \frac{1}{2}(f_1 \pm \bar{\sigma}_1))] \\
& \mp[\bar{\sigma}_2 - \frac{1}{2}(f_{2'}\bar{\sigma}_2 + f_2\bar{\sigma}_{2'}))]; [(f_1 \pm \bar{\sigma}_1); (1 - \frac{1}{2}(f_{1'} \pm \bar{\sigma}_{1'}))]\Big)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
= \frac{1}{2}\int & dp_2 dp_1' dp_2' B \delta(p_1 + p_2 - p_1' - p_2')\delta(p_1^2 + p_2^2 - p_1'^2 - p_2'^2) \\
& \Big([(f_{1'} \pm \bar{\sigma}_{1'}); (1 - \frac{1}{2}(f_1 \pm \bar{\sigma}_1)]; [(f_{2'} \mp \bar{\sigma}_{2'}); (1 - \frac{1}{2}(f_2 \mp \bar{\sigma}_2)] \\
& -[(f_1 \pm \bar{\sigma}_1); (1 - \frac{1}{2}(f_{1'} \pm \bar{\sigma}_{1'})]; [(f_2 \mp \bar{\sigma}_2); (1 - \frac{1}{2}(f_{2'} \mp \bar{\sigma}_{2'})]\Big) \\
+\frac{1}{4}\int & dp_2 dp_1' dp_2' B \delta(p_1 + p_2 - p_1' - p_2')\delta(p_1^2 + p_2^2 - p_1'^2 - p_2'^2) \\
& \Big([(f_{1'} \pm \bar{\sigma}_{1'}); (1 - \frac{1}{2}(f_1 \pm \bar{\sigma}_1)]; [(f_{2'} \pm \bar{\sigma}_{2'}); (1 - \frac{1}{2}(f_2 \pm \bar{\sigma}_2)] \\
& -[(f_1 \pm \bar{\sigma}_1); (1 - \frac{1}{2}(f_{1'} \pm \bar{\sigma}_{1'})]; [(f_2 \pm \bar{\sigma}_2); (1 - \frac{1}{2}(f_{2'} \pm \bar{\sigma}_{2'})]\Big).
\end{aligned}
\tag{2.3}
$$

In particular in the longitudinal case (all spins along the same axis) the equation reduces to the Uehling-Uhlenbeck equation for a spin-up, spin-down mixture,

$$
\begin{aligned}
Dn_{1\pm} = \frac{1}{2}\int & dp_1 dp_1' dp_2' \delta(p_1 + p_2 - p_1' - p_2')\delta(p_1^2 + p_2^2 - p_1'^2 - p_2'^2) \\
\Big(\frac{1}{2}(n_{1\pm}' n_{2\pm}' \tilde{n}_{1\pm}\tilde{n}_{2\pm} - n_{1\pm} n_{2\pm}\tilde{n}_{1\pm}'\tilde{n}_{2\pm}') & + (n_{1\pm}' n_{2\mp}' \tilde{n}_{1\pm}\tilde{n}_{2\mp} - n_{1\pm} n_{2\mp}\tilde{n}_{1\pm}'\tilde{n}_{2\mp}')\Big),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $n_\pm = f \pm \bar{\sigma}$ are the spin-up and spin-down densities.

For the product of $(f_1 \mp \bar{\sigma}_1)$ and $(Q_n \pm Q_m)$ it holds

$$
\begin{aligned}
&(f_1-\bar{\sigma}_1);(Q_n+Q_m)+(f_1+\bar{\sigma}_1);(Q_n-Q_m)=\\
&\frac{1}{2}\int dp_2dp_1'dp_2'B\delta(p_1+p_2-p_1'-p_2')\delta(p_1^2+p_2^2-p_1'^2-p_2'^2)\\
&(f_1-\bar{\sigma}_1);\big([(f_{1'}+\bar{\sigma}_{1'});(1-\frac{1}{2}(f_1+\bar{\sigma}_1))];[(f_{2'}-\bar{\sigma}_{2'});(1-\frac{1}{2}(f_2-\bar{\sigma}_2))]\big)\\
&-(f_1-\bar{\sigma}_1);\big([(f_1+\bar{\sigma}_1);(1-\frac{1}{2}(f_{1'}+\bar{\sigma}_{1'}))];[(f_2-\bar{\sigma}_2);(1-\frac{1}{2}(f_{2'}-\bar{\sigma}_{2'}))]\big)\\
&+(f_1+\bar{\sigma}_1);\big([(f_{1'}-\bar{\sigma}_{1'});(1-\frac{1}{2}(f_1-\bar{\sigma}_1))];[(f_{2'}+\bar{\sigma}_{2'});(1-\frac{1}{2}(f_2+\bar{\sigma}_2))]\big)\\
&-(f_1+\bar{\sigma}_1);\big([(f_1-\bar{\sigma}_1);(1-\frac{1}{2}(f_{1'}-\bar{\sigma}_{1'}))];[(f_2+\bar{\sigma}_2);(1-\frac{1}{2}(f_{2'}+\bar{\sigma}_{2'}))]\big)+\\
&\frac{1}{4}\int dp_2dp_1'dp_2'B\delta(p_1+p_2-p_1'-p_2')\delta(p_1^2+p_2^2-p_1'^2-p_2'^2)\\
&(f_1-\bar{\sigma}_1);\big([(f_{1'}+\bar{\sigma}_{1'});(1-\frac{1}{2}(f_1+\bar{\sigma}_1))];[(f_{2'}+\bar{\sigma}_{2'});(1-\frac{1}{2}(f_2+\bar{\sigma}_2))]\big)\\
&-(f_1-\bar{\sigma}_1);\big([(f_1+\bar{\sigma}_1);(1-\frac{1}{2}(f_{1'}+\bar{\sigma}_{1'}))];[(f_2+\bar{\sigma}_2);(1-\frac{1}{2}(f_{2'}+\bar{\sigma}_{2'}))]\big)\\
&+(f_1+\bar{\sigma}_1);\big([(f_{1'}-\bar{\sigma}_{1'});(1-\frac{1}{2}(f_1-\bar{\sigma}_1))];[(f_{2'}-\bar{\sigma}_{2'});(1-\frac{1}{2}(f_2-\bar{\sigma}_2))]\big)\\
&-(f_1+\bar{\sigma}_1);\big([(f_1-\bar{\sigma}_1);(1-\frac{1}{2}(f_{1'}-\bar{\sigma}_{1'}))];[(f_2-\bar{\sigma}_2);(1-\frac{1}{2}(f_{2'}-\bar{\sigma}_{2'}))]\big).
\end{aligned}
\tag{2.4}
$$

The gain term part in the integrand of (2.4) is

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{2}\Big((f_1-\bar{\sigma}_1);\big([(f_{1'}+\bar{\sigma}_{1'});(1-\frac{1}{2}(f_1+\bar{\sigma}_1))];[(f_{2'}-\bar{\sigma}_{2'});(1-\frac{1}{2}(f_2-\bar{\sigma}_2))]\big)\\
&+(f_1+\bar{\sigma}_1);\big([((f_{1'}-\bar{\sigma}_{1'});(1-\frac{1}{2}(f_1-\bar{\sigma}_1))];[(f_{2'}+\bar{\sigma}_{2'});(1-\frac{1}{2}(f_2+\bar{\sigma}_2))]\big)\Big)+\\
&\frac{1}{4}\Big((f_1-\bar{\sigma}_1);\big([(f_{1'}+\bar{\sigma}_{1'};:(1-\frac{1}{2}(f_1+\bar{\sigma}_1))];[(f_{2'}+\bar{\sigma}_{2'});(1-\frac{1}{2}(f_2+\bar{\sigma}_2))]\big)\\
&+(f_1+\bar{\sigma}_1);\big([(f_{1'}-\bar{\sigma}_{1'});(1-\frac{1}{2}(f_1-\bar{\sigma}_1))];[(f_{2'}-\bar{\sigma}_{2'});(1-\frac{1}{2}(f_2-\bar{\sigma}_2))]\big)\Big).
\end{aligned}
\tag{2.5}
$$

and the loss term part is

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{2}\Big(-(f_1-\bar{\sigma}_1);\big([(f_1+\bar{\sigma}_1);(1-\frac{1}{2}(f_{1'}+\bar{\sigma}_{1'}))];[(f_2-\bar{\sigma}_2);(1-\frac{1}{2}(f_{2'}-\bar{\sigma}_{2'}))]\big)\\
&-(f_1+\bar{\sigma}_1);\big([(f_1-\bar{\sigma}_1);(1-\frac{1}{2}(f_{1'}-\bar{\sigma}_{1'}))];[(f_2+\bar{\sigma}_2);(1-\frac{1}{2}(f_{2'}+\bar{\sigma}_{2'}))]\big)\Big)+\\
&\frac{1}{4}\Big(-(f_1-\bar{\sigma}_1);\big([(f_1+\bar{\sigma}_1);(1-\frac{1}{2}(f_{1'}+\bar{\sigma}_{1'}))];[(f_2+\bar{\sigma}_2);(1-\frac{1}{2}(f_{2'}+\bar{\sigma}_{2'}))]\big)\\
&-(f_1+\bar{\sigma}_1);\big([(f_1-\bar{\sigma}_1);(1-\frac{1}{2}(f_{1'}-\bar{\sigma}_{1'}))];[(f_2-\bar{\sigma}_2);(1-\frac{1}{2}(f_{2'}-\bar{\sigma}_{2'}))]\big)\Big).
\end{aligned}
\tag{2.6}
$$

In (2.5) the terms with one, three, or five σ-factors cancel out, since each $\bar{\sigma}$ has opposite sign in the first and second line, and in the third and fourth line. The same holds for (2.6).

3 An existence result.

We shall adapt an approach from [D] and [PLL] for Fermi-Dirac type Boltzmann equations, to study this initial value problem, and first treat the case with a truncation B_j in the domain of integration for Q, where B_j is the the restriction of B to the set $p_1^2+p_2^2\leq j^2$. The initial value $(f_0,\bar{\sigma}_0)\in L^\infty$ for the equations (2.1-2) is assumed for each ball $p^2\leq j^2$ to satisfy $0<\eta_j\leq f_0\leq 2-\eta_j$, $\min((2-f_0)^2,f_0^2)\geq\bar{\sigma}_0\cdot\bar{\sigma}_0+\eta_j^2$ for some $\eta_j>0$. Set

$$F(t,x,p)=f(t,x,p)\quad\text{for}\quad 0\leq f\leq 2,\quad =0\quad\text{for}\quad f<0,\quad =2\quad\text{for}\quad f>2,\quad \Sigma(t,x,p)=$$
$$\bar{\sigma}(t,x,p)\quad\text{when}\quad \min(F^2,(2-F)^2)\geq\bar{\sigma}\cdot\bar{\sigma},\quad\text{else}\quad \Sigma(t,x,p)=\frac{\min(F,2-F)\bar{\sigma}}{\sqrt{\bar{\sigma}\cdot\bar{\sigma}}}(t,x,p).$$

Consider the system

$$Df=Q_n(F,\Sigma),\quad D\sigma=Q_m(F,\Sigma)\tag{3.1}$$

for $t>0$ under the truncation B_j and with the initial value $(f_0,\bar{\sigma}_0)(=(F_0,\Sigma_0))$.

Proposition 3.1 *The system (3.1) with truncation B_j and initial value $(f_0,\bar{\sigma}_0)$, has locally in time a unique solution $(f,\bar{\sigma})\in L^\infty$.*

Proof Set

$$T_nf(t,x,p)=f_0(x-tp,p)+\int_0^t Q_n(F,\Sigma)(s,x+(s-t)p,p)ds,\tag{3.2}$$

$$T_m\bar{\sigma}(t,x,p)=\bar{\sigma}_0(x-tp,p)+\int_0^t Q_m(F,\Sigma)(s,x+(s-t)p,p)ds.\tag{3.3}$$

Obviously $(f,\bar{\sigma})$ is a solution of the the initial value problem for (3.1), if and only if it is a fixed point of $T:=(T_n,T_m)$. It is enough to prove that the operator T is contracting in L^∞ for $0\leq t\leq t_0$, when $0<t_0$ is small enough.
Now,

$$\begin{aligned}
T_n(f_1,\sigma_1)-T_n(f_2,\sigma_2)=&\frac{1}{2}\int^t ds\int dp_2dp_1'dp_2'B_n\delta(p_1+p_2-p_1'-p_2')\delta(p_1^2+p_2^2-p_1'^2-p_2'^2)\\
&\Big(\frac{3}{2}([F_{11'}-\frac{1}{2}(F_{11}F_{11'}+\Sigma_{11}\cdot\Sigma_{11'})][F_{12'}-\frac{1}{2}(F_{12}F_{12'}+\Sigma_{12}\cdot\Sigma_{12'})]\\
&-[F_{11}-\frac{1}{2}(F_{11}F_{11'}+\Sigma_{11}\cdot\Sigma_{11'})][F_{12}-\frac{1}{2}(F_{12}F_{12'}+\Sigma_{12}\cdot\Sigma_{12'})])\\
&-\frac{1}{2}([\Sigma_{11'}-\frac{1}{2}(F_{11'}\Sigma_{11}+F_{11}\Sigma_{11'})]\cdot[\Sigma_{12'}-\frac{1}{2}(F_{12'}\Sigma_{12}+F_{12}\Sigma_{12'})]\\
&-[\Sigma_{11}-\frac{1}{2}(F_{11'}\Sigma_{11}+F_{11}\Sigma_{11'})][\Sigma_{12}-\frac{1}{2}(F_{12'}\Sigma_{12}+F_2\Sigma_{12'})]\big)\Big)\\
&-\frac{1}{2}\int^t ds\int dp_2dp_1'dp_2'B_n\delta(p_1+p_2-p_1'-p_2')\delta(p_1^2+p_2^2-p_1'^2-p_2'^2)\\
&\Big(\frac{3}{2}([F_{21'}-\frac{1}{2}(F_{21}F_{21'}+\Sigma_{21}\cdot\Sigma_{21'})][F_{22'}-\frac{1}{2}(F_{22}f_{22'}+\Sigma_{22}\cdot\Sigma_{22'})]\\
&-[F_{21}-\frac{1}{2}(F_{21}F_{21'}+\Sigma_{21}\cdot\Sigma_{21'})][F_{22}-\frac{1}{2}(F_{22}F_{22'}+\Sigma_{22}\cdot\Sigma_{22'})])\\
&-\frac{1}{2}([\Sigma_{21'}-\frac{1}{2}(F_{21'}\Sigma_{21}+F_{21}\Sigma_{21'})]\cdot[\Sigma_{22'}-\frac{1}{2}(F_{22'}\Sigma_{22}+F_{22}\Sigma_{22'})]\\
&-[\Sigma_{21}-\frac{1}{2}(F_{21'}\Sigma_{21}+F_{21}\Sigma_{21'})][\Sigma_{22}-\frac{1}{2}(F_{22'}\Sigma_{22}+F_{22}\Sigma_{22'})]\big)\Big),
\end{aligned}$$

hence on $[0, t_0]$

$$\begin{aligned} \parallel T_n(F_1, \Sigma_1) - T_n(F_2, \Sigma_2) \parallel_{L^\infty} &\leq t_0 C_n(\parallel F_1 - F_2 \parallel_{L^\infty} + \parallel \Sigma_1 - \Sigma_2 \parallel_{L^\infty}) \\ &\leq t_0 C_n(\parallel f_1 - f_2 \parallel_{L^\infty} + \parallel \bar{\sigma}_1 - \bar{\sigma}_2 \parallel_{L^\infty}). \end{aligned}$$

An analogous estimate holds for T_m, and so T is contracting for $t_0 > 0$ and sufficiently small. $\square$

It remains to prove that $F = f$ and $\Sigma = \bar{\sigma}$. This holds by continuity on a (short and j-dependent) time interval $0 \leq t < t_j$, using the boundedness of T_n and T_m. For the full interval $[0, t_0]$ we first give the proof in the case when there is spin only in the σ_3 direction. With $\bar{\sigma} = (0, 0, s)$, we can replace $\bar{\sigma}$ with s in Q_n and Q_m, and the equation for $\bar{\sigma}$ by the corresponding one for s. Notice in (2.4) that in the gain- and loss-parts separately, a spin-term will contain an odd number of $\bar{\sigma}$'s. But those terms have each different signs on the first and second, third and fourth lines of (2.5) and of (2.6), and so they cancel out. Terms with an even number of $\bar{\sigma}$'s belong to $\mathbb{R}$. In this spin-up spin-down case, the ;-product is associative. Set $c_1 = 18 \max_{p_1} \int dp_2 dp'_1 dp'_2 B_n \delta(p_1 + p_2 - p'_1 - p'_2)\delta(p_1^2 + p_2^2 - p_1'^2 - p_2'^2)$. It holds

$$\begin{aligned} &- c_1(f_1^2 - s_1^2) \leq \int dp_2 dp'_1 dp'_2 B \delta(p_1 + p_2 - p'_1 - p'_2)\delta(p_1^2 + p_2^2 - p_1'^2 - p_2'^2) \\ &\frac{1}{2}\Big(- (f_1 - s_1); \big([(f_1 + s_1); (1 - \frac{1}{2}(f_{1'} + s_{1'}))]; [(f_2 - s_2); (1 - \frac{1}{2}(f_{2'} - s_{2'}))]\big) \\ &\quad -(f_1 + s_1); \big([(f_1 - s_1); (1 - \frac{1}{2}(f_{1'} - s_{1'}))]; [(f_2 + s_2); (1 - \frac{1}{2}(f_{2'} + s_{2'}))]\big)\Big) \\ &+\frac{1}{4}\Big(- (f_1 - s_1); \big([(f_1 + s_1); (1 - \frac{1}{2}(f_{1'} + s_{1'}))]; [(f_2 + s_2); (1 - \frac{1}{2}(f_{2'} + s_{2'}))]\big) \\ &\quad -(f_1 + s_1); \big([(f_1 - s_1); (1 - \frac{1}{2}(f_{1'} - s_{1'}))]; [(f_2 - s_2); (1 - \frac{1}{2}(f_{2'} - s_{2'}))]\big)\Big) \\ &\quad \leq (f_1 - s_1); (Q_n + Q_m)(f, s) + (f_1 + s_1); (Q_n - Q_m)(f, s) = D(f_1^2 - s_1^2). \end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

This implies

$$0 < (f_0^2 - s_0^2)(x, p)e^{-c_1 t} \leq (f^2 - s^2)^{\#}(t, x, p), \tag{3.5}$$

where (f, s) is the fixed point of (3.2-3) with initial value $(f_0, \bar{\sigma}_0)$. By continuity $f \pm s > 0$ for $0 \leq t \leq t_j$. Analogously, starting from the equation for $(1 - \frac{1}{2}(f_1 \pm \sigma_1))$ instead of $f_1 \pm \sigma_1$, we get by uniqueness the same solution to (3.2-3), together with the estimate

$$0 < ((2 - f_0)^2 - s_0^2)(x, p)e^{-c_1 t} \leq ((2 - f)^2 - s^2)^{\#}(t, x, p), \tag{3.6}$$

which by continuity holds for $0 \leq t \leq t_j$ together with $2 - f \pm s > 0$ for $0 \leq t \leq t_j$. And so, uniformly in (x, p), $|s| < \min(f, 2 - f) \leq 1$ for $0 \leq t \leq t_j$. By iteration, existence and uniqueness follow up to time t_0 and then for $t > 0$. We conclude that $\rho = \frac{1}{2}(fI + \bar{\sigma}\sigma)$, solves the truncated initial value problem for (1.2) globally in time.

The proof could also have been carried out with $\bar{\sigma}$ instead of s, since the vector part of the integrands is zero. Then by a slight extension, an analogous result follows in the case of general spin directions (general $\bar{\sigma}_0$). Given (x, v), start from a spin base, where $\bar{\sigma}_1$ is in the σ_3-direction. If $\bar{\sigma}'_1$, $\bar{\sigma}_2$, $\bar{\sigma}'_2$ all are in the σ_3-direction, then we are in the previous situation. Else with $\bar{\sigma} = (\bar{\sigma}_1, \bar{\sigma}_2, \bar{\sigma}_3)$, and $\tilde{\sigma} = (\bar{\sigma}_1, \bar{\sigma}_2)$ added, there are three additional terms with the new factors $\tilde{\sigma}'_1 \cdot \tilde{\sigma}'_2$, $\tilde{\sigma}'_1 \cdot \tilde{\sigma}_2$, and $\tilde{\sigma}'_2 \cdot \tilde{\sigma}_2$. Since the spin-part of $(f_1 - \bar{\sigma}_1); (Q_n + Q_m)(f, \bar{\sigma}) + (f_1 + \bar{\sigma}_1); (Q_n - Q_m)(f, \bar{\sigma})$ cancels, (3.4) still holds, now with $\pm s$ replaced by $+|\bar{\sigma}|$, and leading to

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 < (f_0^2 - \bar{\sigma}_0 \cdot \bar{\sigma}_0)(x,p)e^{-c_1 t} &\le (f^2 - \bar{\sigma} \cdot \bar{\sigma})^{\#}(t,x,p),\\
0 < ((2-f_0)^2 - \bar{\sigma}_0 \cdot \bar{\sigma}_0)(x,p)e^{-c_1 t} &\le ((2-f)^2 - \bar{\sigma} \cdot \bar{\sigma})^{\#}(t,x,p).
\end{aligned}
$$

We conclude that $\rho = \frac{1}{2}(fI + \bar{\sigma}\sigma)$ solves the truncated problem (3.1) in the general case,

Proposition 3.2 *The system (1.2) with truncation B_j and initial value $(f_0, \bar{\sigma}_0)$, has a unique solution for $t > 0$.*

The initial value problem for (1.2) has a solution also in the limit $p \in \mathbb{R}^3$. This follows using the weak compactness arguments for the Fermi-Dirac case of the Boltzmann equation in [PLL]. For this, we notice that the averaging lemma can be applied separately to each of the four component equations of (2.2). The entropy dissipation argument to control a restricted L^1 convergence of Q_j^+ in (134) of [PLL], can be replaced by the following direct control. Let K be a fixed compact set in $\mathbb{R}_p^3$. For $p_1 \in K$ and j large, $\max(|p_1'|, |p_2'|) > \frac{|p_2|}{2})$ when $(B - B_j)(p_1 - v_2, \omega) > 0$. In the integral $Q^+(p_1, \omega) = \int dp_2 \int d\theta |p_1 - p_2|^{\beta} b(\theta) f_1' f_2' (1 - f_1)(1 - f_2)$, make a change of variable from p_2 to the one of p_1' and p_2' giving that maximum, and estimate the other factors f and 1-f by one. With $A_j(p_1, p_2) = \int \frac{B_j(p_1, p_2, \theta)}{cos^3(\theta)} d\theta$, this gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int dp_1 |Q^+ - Q_j^+| &= \int dp_1 dp_2 d\theta (B - B_j)(p_1 - p_2) f_1' f_2' (1 - f_1)(1 - f_2)\\
&\le C \int dp_2 f_2 \int_K dp_1 (A - A_j)(p_1 - p_2) dp_1 \to 0, \qquad j \to \infty.
\end{aligned}
$$

From here the proof in [PLL], now applied not just to the non-cancelling terms of $f_1' f_2' (1 - f_1)(1 - f_2)$ and $f_1 f_2 (1 - f_1')(1 - f_2')$, but to all corresponding combinations of f and spin-components $\bar{\sigma}_k, \quad k = 1, 2, 3$ holds, step by step. This proves Theorem 1.1. □

Bibliography

[D] J. Dolbeault, Kinetic models and quantum effects, Arch. Rat. Mech. Anal. 127,

[JM] J. Jeon, W. Mullin, Kinetic equation for dilute, spin-polarized quantum systems, J. Phys. France 49, 1691-1706 (1988).

[JR] D. S. Jin, C. A. Regal, Fermi gas experiments, Proc. Int. School of Physics Enrico Fermi, course CLXIV, IOS Press, Amsterdam 2008.

[NTLCL] P. C. Nasher, G. Taslevin, M. Leduc, S. B. Crampton, F. Laloë, J. Phys. Lett. 45, 1984, L-441.

[PLL] P. L. Lions, Compactness in Boltzmann's equation via Fourier integral operators and applications III, J. Math. Kyoto Univ. 34 (1994), 539-584.

[S] V. P. Silin, Introduction to the kinetic theory of gases (in Russian), Nauka, Moscow, 1971.